----- (06/10/2014 15:02) ------YPM Susan: Is there sound and I'm just not hearing it? Please say "Hi" Jim! Talia Karim: Gil is talking Talia Karim: Can you hear anything susan? ----- (06/10/2014 15:04) ------YPM Susan: can hear, but I won't be able to talk... YPM Susan: (which is fine) Talia Karim: ok Michael McClennen: Hello, this is Michael. ----- (06/10/2014 15:05) ------Gil Nelson: https://www.idigbio.org/wiki/index.php/Paleo_Digitization_Working_Group YPM Susan: Hi Michael! Michael McClennen: Hi Susan! ----- (06/10/2014 15:24) ------Michael McClennen: Actually, I don't believe you completely understand what we proposed. Michael McClennen: I'm reasonably certain that those objections can be easily overcome. Michael McClennen: You need to understand that the inheritance is of NAMES only, not DATA. Michael McClennen: The key concept is that each Paleo Context record has a NAME, just like Locality records curently do. ----- (06/10/2014 15:26) ------Michael McClennen: Ah - good! ----- (06/10/2014 15:30) ------Michael McClennen: Good point, Gil. ----- (06/10/2014 15:35) ------Michael McClennen: I'm not sure if you can hear me. Jessica Utrup: Gil, do you need to move MIchael up? Michael McClennen: Okay, how about if I type then? Michael McClennen: The idea is that the Paleo Context would still be linked to Collection Object Michael McClennen: Exactly.

Michael McClennen: No, I'm pretty sure it would not override any integrity constraints.

Michael McClennen: The idea is that the relatiionship of Collection Object <-> Paleo Context should be Many-to-one.

Michael McClennen: The names would only be used to establish the linkage.
Michael McClennen: Yes, I would like that.
Michael McClennen: Good.
Michael McClennen: That, to me, is the key idea.
Michael McClennen: Yes.
Michael McClennen: No, that's not true.
Michael McClennen: The names attached to Collection Event and Locality would only be used essentially as defaults for Collection Objects.
Bruce: I'm fine (no questions) and appreciate the conversation. Thanks, Bruce(06/10/2014 15:45)
Sarah Timm: Ok, thanks. Just a thought there I've been menaing to connect with you and will send you an email outside of this chat so we can finally connect! Great conversation:)(06/10/2014 15:46)
Ann molineux: What is the time line for the a new schema on paleo context-if you follow the one you outlined?
(06/10/2014 15:48)
Ron Eng: If you select one relationship, would it be possible to change it to another?
Bruce: I'd also like to keep stable isotopes (ancillary data) on the radar screen, as we discussed at the March paleo-webinar.
Ron Eng: OK, just asking
Talia Karim: :)(06/10/2014 15:50)Gil Nelson: specify@ku.edu
Gil Nelson: 785-864-4400

Gil Nelson: http://specifysoftware.org

Bruce: Sounds good, thanks Jim!

Ron Eng: This is great. Thanks to all of you at Specify!

Una Farrell: Thanks Jim!

Daniel Miller: Thank you!

Ann molineux: Yes, I second that, many thanks Jim

Roger Burkhalter: Thanks Jim.

Bruce: Thanks to all the Specify folks, and Gil and Kevin too!

Kevin Love: https://www.idigbio.org/wiki/index.php/Paleo_Digitization_Working_Group

UT Austin- Angie: thanks!

Michael McClennen: Yes.

Catherine Riddle: thank you

Sarah Timm: Thank you!!

Michael McClennen: Thank you, Jim!