

Achieving a unified collection management system, preparing data



Joanna McCaffrey, iDigBio Biodiversity Informatics Manager Scripps Institute of Oceanography Wednesday, 3rd February 2016, La Jolla CA









iDigBio is funded by a grant from the National Science Foundation's Advancing Digitization of Biodiversity Collections Program (Cooperative Agreement EF-1115210). Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.



Why have a unified database?

- Economies of scale, effort
- Sharing ideas, costs (IT staff)
- Going in a new direction together can invigorate staff
- Possibly introduce new technology along the way, e.g., data export



How do we get there?

- What follows are some strategies for achieving a unified database
 - Process
 - A journey together



Considerations for selecting a collections management system - motivation

Establish institutional motivation to unify databases

 earnest desire could be generated by a focus group with institutional stakeholders, funding generators, users of data, people who input data, data system supporters (curators), IT,



Considerations for selecting a collections management system – agreement 1

<u>Document</u> and <u>agree</u> on a priority feature set that is <u>necessary</u> versus <u>desired</u>:

- a. system is extensible, customizable,
- b. responsive vendor,
- c. supports reports, auditing,
- d. generates labels,
- e. supports loans (partial returns, cataloged and uncataloged specimens),
- supports pest management,
- g. supports multimedia attachments (PDF loan forms, image, sound files, etc.),
- h. supports web access and privacy,



Considerations for selecting a collections management system – agreement 2

- i. all the input/output scenarios you might envision:
 - Import/export abilities, can it support Darwin Core field mappings
 - plan B scenario if software or the internal project becomes unfunded,
- j. affordable user license costs: per seat, pool,
- k. has basic, and easily customizable help,
- I. Mac versus PC, perhaps an issue in your user population,
- m. has a robust security model (passwords, users, groups, permissions, input and query defaults, controlled vocabularies),
- n. supports accessibility, different character sets,



Considerations for selecting a collections management system - IT

- Proprietary, open source, hybrid, cloudbased
 - Who decides what features to develop?
 - Who does maintenance?



Considerations for selecting a collections management system

- Interest in having what your peers have: economies of training, user community,
- Beware of demo-ware



Considerations for selecting a collections management system

 Shop vendors and score them on their ability to meet <u>necessary</u> features above, with extra points for <u>desired</u> ones



Considerations for selecting a collections management system

 Get a full demo copy and enter data with a realistic test case dataset, score on ease of learning the system



Considerations for selecting a collections management system - costs

When choosing preferred system, consider <u>costs</u> derived from these sources:

- upfront software costs,
- 2. software maintenance,
- 3. long term costs (server space, server replacement, backup),
- 4. where it is hosted,
- 5. IT support of system without being the bottleneck,
- 6. hidden costs of conversion, cleansing, improvements,
- 7. institutional bioinformatics staff support to continue development of data, ('data curator', biodiversity informatics manager).

https://www.idigbio.org/content/biological-collections-databases



Some software applications options

- These run the gamut from almost free ->
 pricey with annual licenses, and from little
 or no support -> lots of support. They all
 come with a large community of users.
- Symbiota
- Specify
- Arctos
- EMu



Preparing Data

- Discuss Creative Commons rights
 - CC0 for data (not copyrightable)



- CC BY for media (at least)



You will need to define AC rights and rightHolder



Data Quality: Consider searchability in the aggregate

Dates – dwc:eventDate, dwc:day, dwc:month, dwc:year:

- this is not a month: Spring
- this Is not a day: 10-18
- this is not a year: 1989? Or [1989]

Taxonomy – fill in dwc:scientificName, parse out the elements, fill in higher taxonomy

this is not a species: shrimp

Tics: * [] {} ?

Use the verbatim and remarks fields for things that do not fit the definitions



Data Quality: Grooming and tics

Your dataset **is no longer just for making labels**, there are other considerations for being digital, and out in the wild:

- 1) Put dates in ISO 8601 format, i.e., YYYY-MM-DD, e.g., 2015-09-17
- 2) Parse out scientific name
- 3) Conversely, put the piece parts into a scientific name
- 4) Provide as much higher taxonomy as your feel comfortable with, fill in tribe, sub+super family, kingdom, division, class, order) get out of 'family' land.
- 5) Make sure lat and lon coordinates are in decimal, and no N, S, E, W
- 6) Do not export '0' in fields to represent no value, e.g., lat or lon
- 7) put elevation in METERS units in the elevation field without the units (e.g., the fields dwc:minimumElevationInMeters and dwc:maximumElevationInMeters already assume the numeric values are in meters, so there no need to include the units with the data)
- 8) And not to get too esoteric, do not use un-escaped newline characters or embedded tabs
- 9) Watch out for diacritics, save in UTF-8

àáâãääå



Data cleaning tools

- Open Refine
- Remember Data Carpentry?
- Power user Excel
- Access VB scripts



Thank you for your attention





facebook.com/iDigBio



twitter.com/iDigBio



vimeo.com/idigbio



idigbio.org/rss-feed.xml



webcal://www.idigbio.org/events-calendar/export.ics







iDigBio is funded by a grant from the National Science Foundation's Advancing Digitization of Biodiversity Collections Program (Cooperative Agreement EF-1115210). Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.